## **COMMISSIONING SELECT COMMITTEE**

Tuesday 30th June, 2015

12:00 pm

Wantsum Room, Sessions House, County Hall Maidstone





## **AGENDA**

## **SELECT COMMITTEE - COMMISSIONING**

Tuesday, 30th June, 2015, at 12.00 pm Ask for: Joel Cook

Wantsum Room, Sessions House, County Hall, Telephone 03000416892 Maidstone

Tea/Coffee will be available 15 minutes before the start of the meeting in the meeting room

## Membership

Mr M J Angell (Chairman), Mr M Baldock, Mr M A C Balfour, Mr H Birkby, Mr N J D Chard, Mr G Cowan, Mr T Gates, Mr C R Pearman and Mr M J Vye

### **UNRESTRICTED ITEMS**

(During these items the meeting is likely to be open to the public)

1. Commissioning Recommendations - Progress report (Pages 3 - 40)

## **EXEMPT ITEMS**

(At the time of preparing the agenda there were no exempt items. During any such items which may arise the meeting is likely NOT to be open to the public)

Peter Sass Head of Democratic Services (01622) 694002

Monday, 22 June 2015

From: David Whittle- Director of Strategy, Policy, Relationships

& Corporate Assurance

To: Commissioning Select Committee

Subject: Update on the agreed Action Plan in response to the

Recommendations of the Commissioning Select Committee 'Better Outcomes, Changing Lives,

Adding Social Value'

Classification: Unrestricted

Past Pathway of Paper: N/A

Future Pathway of Paper: N/A

Electoral Division: Countywide- all divisions affected

## Summary:

This report provides an update on the action plan which was agreed by Cabinet and presented to Scrutiny Committee in September 2014, in response to the recommendations of the Commissioning Select Committee, 'Better Outcomes, Changing Lives, Adding Social Value'.

#### Recommendations:

#### The Select Committee are asked to:

- 1) Note the progress against the action plan
- 2) Agree the proposal to continue to review progress against a number of the recommendations

#### 1. Introduction:

1.1 The attached action plan (Appendix 1) has been updated to reflect KCC's progress in response to the Select Committee Recommendations. As can be seen good progress has been made over the past 9 months, particularly in relation to delivering the key strategic documents and frameworks for the authority, to drive forward our transition to a strategic commissioning authority. However it is also recognised that it will take some time to embed cultural changes and new practices across the organisation.

## 2. Overview of Progress:

- 2.1 The action plan sets out the detail of KCC's progress against the 27 recommendations however over the past 9 months KCC has delivered a number of key documents which are driving forward our move to become an excellent commissioning authority and ensuring that we develop a mixed and vibrant economy in Kent.
- 2.2 KCC's Strategic Statement 'Increasing Opportunities, Improving Outcomes' has been adopted by County Council and reflects the need for KCC to become a very different type of council over the next five years. Our strategic and supporting outcomes will drive all our commissioning and ensure that we are securing high- quality services for our residents and that we are ensuring every pound spent in Kent is delivering better outcomes for Kent's residents, communities and businesses.
  Whilst it will take time for this to be embedded across the Council we are already starting to see that this is a providing a 'golden thread'
- 2.3 Our Commissioning Framework has now been agreed and is ensuring that we are commissioning to the same high standard across the Council and sets out our commitment to Social Value within all our commissioning. Furthermore the development of a commissioning network and toolkit means that commissioners are sharing examples of good practice and innovative commissioning across different parts of the business.

through our commissioning, strategies and plans.

2.4 The draft VCS (Voluntary and Community Sector) policy which is out for consultation with the sector, is also helping us to ensure that we recognise the value and role of grants whilst ensuring that we are consistent and transparent in our use of grant funding. Furthermore through the consultation process we are reviewing the support needs of the sector to ensure that the VCSE market in Kent thrives.

#### 3. Conclusion

3.1 As set out in detail in the updated action plan there has been much progress made on the recommendations of the Committee. However, there is also recognition that this is an ongoing journey and it will take time to achieve the required cultural shift and improve our commissioning practice. It is therefore proposed that further input from the Select Committee by way of a review of progress in 6-12 months could provide a useful assessment of KCC's development as a strategic commissioning authority.

## **Recommendations:**

## The Select Committee are asked to:

- 1) Note the progress against the action plan
- 2) Agree the proposal to continue to review progress against a number of the recommendations

## **Report Author**

**David Whittle** 

Director of Strategy, Policy, Relationships & Corporate Assurance

Tel: 03000 416833

E-mail: david.whittle@kent.gov.uk

Lydia Jackson

Policy and Partnerships Adviser (VCS)

Tel: 03000 416299

E-mail: Lydia.jackson@kent.gov.uk



# Appendix 1: Updated Action Plan

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales		Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
Commissioning Landscap	De .					
R1. Support the development of a balanced and mixed economy of potential service providers, balancing cost and maximising where appropriate the use of VCSE and SME organisations with the capacity and skills needed to achieve the outcomes required.	KCC is striving to be an excellent commissioning authority, this means being focused on the delivery of our strategic outcomes, having a strong understanding of the customer needs and that we consider all options in striving to get the best services that are value for money for our residents. By working in this way we will support a mixed economy, with no provider bias. The strengths of the VCS and SMEs will be recognised by having the appropriate intelligence on the services they deliver, their expertise and skills to make informed decisions on service delivery. We will be looking at how we can improve the skill base of our commissioners and	Early 2015	•	Transformation Team Procurement Commissioning	statement has now been adopted and focuses on ensuring that every pound spent in Kent is delivering better outcomes for Kent's residents, communities and businesses. This provides the mandate for commissioners and providers across the private and voluntary sectors to innovate and radically redesign what we do and how we do it, to meet the outcomes for Kent. Who delivers the services to improve outcomes will depend on who is best placed to achieve them across the public, private and voluntary sector. However we recognise that there is an ongoing development need to	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	the commissioning support specialisms needed to support them. We will also ensure that commissioners are supported to robustly appraise all delivery options available to them during the early commissioning stages.			improve the pre-market engagement stage of the commissioning cycle and to ensure that all our commissioners are able to robustly appraise all delivery options.	
KCC as an excellent comm					
R 2. Clarify KCC Commissioning objectives and approach, and develop a KCC Commissioning Strategy.	As referenced in the Facing the Challenge reports to County Council in May, KCC is developing a new Strategic Outcomes Framework and Commissioning framework. These will define what it means for KCC to be a strategic commissioning authority including the functions and capabilities needed and will also set out the strategic outcomes for the authority. The framework will provide clear guidance to commissioners, providers and partners	April 2015	Policy with Commissioning and Procurement	Policy has delivered the new KCC strategic statement, which sets out our strategic outcomes and has been agreed by County Council. The Commissioning framework has been agreed by County Council and an online toolkit has been developed to provide guidance and tools to staff in support of the Commissioning authority model.	Delivered

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
R3. Define roles, responsibilities and relationships in commissioning cycle, agree who is best placed to carry out the different tasks, and decide when and how legal advice should be considered in the procurement cycle.	about what good commissioning will look like for KCC.  The analysis of roles and responsibilities within the report is welcomed and should be used as a basis to review the procurement and commissioning function in the Phase 2 reviews and to inform the development of our commissioning support. It is recognised that we need to define clearly our Commissioning and procurement functions and make a distinction between commissioning and the role of service managers.  The development of a Commissioning Framework for the authority will provide clarity on the process KCC uses for commissioning, setting out the key steps, good	Early 2015	•	KCC's commissioning toolkit defines the different roles and responsibilities throughout the commissioning cycle including the roles of commissioning and procurement functions. Review of commissioning and procurement is on-going.	Ongoing
	practice and defining roles				

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	and responsibilities at each stage of the cycle. It will also illustrate the resources available to commissioners to draw upon.				
R4. Develop the culture of commissioning and contract management, with an ethos of collaborative relationships.	Whilst it is the responsibility of operational commissioners to work with potential providers to explore and encourage where appropriate opportunities for greater collaboration, it should not be the role of the County Council to dictate how the sector/potential providers should operate. It is however the responsibility of KCC to help shape the market in Kent and make it aware of our commissioning intentions. Whilst subcontracting can be a useful and effective way of commissioning services we recognise that we must put mechanisms in	Ongoing	Commissioning     Procurement	An e-learning module on commissioning and contract management has been developed and is now available for all staff, which highlights the importance of effective relationships between KCC and its providers. In addition an in-depth contract management training programme has been developed by Procurement for all contract managers, to develop skills in commercial acumen, developing effective relationships, and managing contracts effectively and has been delivered to 83 managers with more courses to follow.	Delivered

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	place to manage the supply chain and ensure that all providers are equally treated and that smaller VCSE entities can benefit from subcontracting arrangements. Our new commissioning framework will make clear how we will support the VCSE to effectively engage in KCC procurement exercises and what we expect of all providers both internal and external which are commissioned by KCC, this will include looking at how we can support subcontracting and consortia arrangements.			We are also supporting the development of collaborative relationships with providers, for example by developing a commissioning toolkit specifically for local arts and culture organisations to help them engage effectively in commissioning activities.  Through KCC's consultation on its Strategic Statement a provider feedback survey has been agreed and will be a key mechanism for understanding whether we are successful in making progress against this recommendation.  A key part of the VCS policy is to review how we provide infrastructure support to the sector and how this can help to build	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				collaborative relationships in the future.	
R 5. Extend the Kent Compact or similar agreement to include private sector providers working with the VCSE organisations.	Whilst we are sympathetic to the points raised in relation to sub-contracting we do not believe that the Compact is the right mechanism for managing how the private sector works with the VCSE in potential sub-contracting arrangements. This should be achieved through the development of good contracts and through the management of the supply chain, ensuring that all providers are treated fairly and equally, as stated in R4.	Ongoing	Commissioning     Procurement	N/A see R4	
R 6. Invest time defining the desired outcomes and measures (quantitative and qualitative), ensuring these are user and communities focused and evaluate impacts (not outputs), using Coproduction of outcomes	We absolutely agree that defining outcomes is critical for specifying and securing the right services. This should be based on a blend of quantitative and qualitative measures and we recognise the need to	On going	<ul><li>Commissioning</li><li>Policy</li></ul>	The outcomes defined in KCC's new strategic statement were informed by both public and staff consultation and reflect the priorities of the residents of Kent. They provide a 'golden thread' which will run through all	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
and measures where appropriate.	improve our evaluation with regards to qualitative analysis. We also support that wherever possible outcome measures should be co-produced.  The development of a Strategic outcomes framework will provide the foundation for aligning commissioning objectives of clients and services to the strategic outcomes KCC wants to achieve as a county at a population level.  The strategic outcomes framework will be informed by public			our plans and strategies including our commissioning activity. This will enable us to report annually on our progress and the impact our activity is having on the lives of our residents and our communities. We recognise that moving to an outcome based approach will require us to have a stronger focus on evaluation and we recognise that this is something we must improve upon.	
	consultation. The new commissioning framework will also set out how we will ensure that each contract established by KCC links directly to the new outcomes framework.			Our strategic statement has been informed by consultation with residents and staff and significant changes were made to the document in response to this engagement. The document has been widely welcomed in its simplicity and that this	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				approach enables greater accountability.  We are already starting to see that our strategic outcomes are referenced within our commissioning with the document being opened 4500 times by staff on our website.  A recent Commissioning Network meeting for commissioners was dedicated to exploring how we can be focusing more on these outcomes in our commissioning activity.	
R 7. Improve how we join up commissioning across the authority. There is a need for better collaboration and partnership building across silos and with providers.	The strategic commissioning plan and outcomes framework will span client groups and define outcomes which will drive commissioning and service activity, encouraging collaboration across the council. The county wide commissioning framework will ensure that there is	April 2015 and ongoing	<ul><li>Policy</li><li>Commissioning</li></ul>	Whilst we have delivered the commissioning framework and set out our strategic outcomes for the authority which we believe will help us to look for opportunities for collaboration, we recognise that it will take some time to embed practice across the local authority. We therefore	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	consistency in the way we commission and will set out how we will commission with partners. We recognise that better engagement with partners provides opportunities to identify innovative models of service delivery and we are moving towards closer joint commissioning arrangements with colleagues in Health in this way. We also expect commissioners to engage with providers who very often have innovative ideas about how to deliver services which are not focused on organisational boundaries.			suggest progress should be reviewed routinely.  This will be a specific focus of the new Business Intelligence and Development division currently being recruited to.	
Engagement and Commu		I			
R 8. Provide more opportunities to co-design and co-produce services where appropriate, to capture the value of what organisations are already doing, and ideas to innovate.	The development of a KCC commissioning framework will set out the principles underpinning our commissioning including our commitment to involving residents in the co-production of		Commissioning	The commissioning framework sets out our commitment to community engagement and co-production within all our commissioning, although the best way of achieving this should be	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	services and monitoring the effectiveness of commissioned services. There will be an expectation that operational commissioners will work alongside customers and organisations to ensure that we are clear on the outcomes we are seeking to effect through our services and that we build upon best practice.			the decision of the commissioning manager or officer. Our commissioning toolkit also provides examples of best practice and guidance on how to engage our customers. However it will take some time for practice to be embedded consistently across all areas of KCC and therefore it is suggested that this is routinely reviewed.	
R 9. Need to ensure that specifications are 'fit for purpose' and reflect market engagement, identify level of need and desired outcomes, allow innovation and flexibility, leading to better contracts.	We agree that service specifications are a critical product to driving effective commissioning. We acknowledge that we must get better at designing them and at how we arrive at our specifications, engaging providers and service users.  However our specifications must also be proportionate and	Autumn 2014	Commissioning	The commissioning toolkit provides best practice examples and templates for service specifications and our commission framework clearly sets out our core standards. Market engagement activity is improving, for example Public Health and Waste Management both recently held market engagement events	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
R 10. Actively consider how service users and stakeholders can have greater input and influence in the specification, and service users in the evaluation of tenders.	flexible to ensure that we do not limit the innovation of providers. Our commissioning framework will recognise this balance but there will always need to be an element of judgement made by the commissioner to ensure that we get the right specifications and better contracts as a result.  There are already examples where KCC has successfully involved service users and stakeholders in the development of specifications and we strongly support this approach wherever possible. Our commissioning framework will place great importance on the analyse and review stages of the commissioning cycle and our approach to commissioning will be underpinned by the	On going	Commissioning	which received very positive responses from local providers. However we recognise that our pre- market engagement could still be improved to ensure that our specifications are robust and reflect real need. We therefore suggest that this is routinely reviewed to ensure progress.  As set out under R8 service user and stakeholder engagement is a core part of our commissioning framework and whilst there are already good examples across the authority, provided in our commissioning toolkit this must continue to be embedded consistently across the authority.	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	principles of co-production				
	and service user				
	engagement throughout				
	the cycle. It will be the				
	responsibility of operational				
	commissioners to ensure				
	that there are				
	opportunities for input and				
	influence in the				
	specification wherever				
	appropriate and this				
	should be built into the				
	commissioning timetable.				
	There will be an				
	expectation that				
	commissioner will be able				
	to evidence service users				
	and customer input into				
	the design of the				
	commissioning				
	specification wherever appropriate.				
R 11. Ensure appropriate	We strongly support the	On going	Commissioning	KCC's commissioning	Ongoing
and timely communication	principle of engaging early	on going	<ul><li>Commissioning</li><li>Procurement</li></ul>	framework commits to	Crigoria
throughout the market	with the VCSE and private		- i iocuiement	timely engagement with	
engagement and	sector to inform our			the market however in	
tendering processes –	commissioning plans and			practice we believe we	
about timeliness,	specifications. This will			can build on the already	
communicating reasons	enable commissioners to			existing good practice of	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
for changes, levels of awareness.	understand what the sector can provide and will ensure that they are well informed of our commissioning intention. This is vital to the delivery of innovative services based on quality specifications.			all major and many minor procurements involving early market engagement this is an area where we need to continue to improve and standardise our practice across the authority. This is also featured in the new Public contracts regulations which encourage pre market engagement. We believe that this should be routinely reviewed as we progress our commissioning approach across the authority.	
				All 2015/16 business plans contain a timeline of commissioning intentions for each Directorate and these will be published online to provide visibility of KCC's commissioning intentions, thereby giving providers as much notice as possible of potential	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				procurement exercises.	
R 12. Promote contracting opportunities to VCSE and SMEs and Better or enhanced promotion of the Kent Business Portal to increase awareness (including with small and micro enterprises), and for the Portal to be more easily navigable.	The effectiveness of the portal should be considered within the phase review of procurement to ensure that the portal is responsive and easily accessible.	Starting Summer 2014	<ul> <li>Transformation Team</li> <li>Procurement</li> </ul>	The Kent Business Portal is promoted by both KCC and the other Kent partners. KCC Procurement have presented at 2020, Construction Expo, Sector Training and Mentoring Programme (STAMP) for VCS organisations. And many other meetings across Kent.	Ongoing
R 13. Extend the use of the portal to enable other local Authorities to promote contract and subcontracting opportunities, broadening potential access for VCSE and SMEs.	Procurement will explore the possibility for the portal to be used by other local authorities outside of the County.	Ongoing	• Procurement	As stated by Procurement the portal is Kent focused and therefore we do not intend to expand the portal out of the County. The Portal is now actively being used by 9 District Councils, Medway, Fire and Rescue, some schools and some Parish Councils. We are also having sub- contract opportunities	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales		Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
					posted (this needs to increase) Additionally we now have suppliers seeking partners through the portal (mainly VCS).	
Procurement process						
R14. Strengthen our processes to access and utilize knowledge of Commissioners and potential providers – KCC should consider within the current Tendering process and complying with procurement law how KCC can strengthen our understanding of the local knowledge and experience of organisations, for example by incorporating: - visits to existing services of potential providers - reflecting knowledge of past performance/experience of working with a provider, both good and not so good.	KCC's commissioning framework will set out our required standards and principles throughout the commissioning cycle and will place equal importance on the review element of the cycle. Commissioners will be expected to review the performance and effectiveness of commissioned services and use this intelligence to inform recommissioning of services and future service specifications. This should also include using the experience of other local authorities where providers have already undertaken similar	Ongoing from Autumn 14	•	Procurement Commissioning	KCC's commissioning framework sets out our required standards and principles throughout each stage of the commissioning cycle and we continue to review progress.  There are examples of good practice where premarket engagement is being used to understand the impact of potential commissioning choices for example in Adult Transformation phase 2 and Learning Disability Residential providers.  Spending the Councils Money (the rules that must be followed when	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	services on their behalf.			purchasing goods and services for The Council) have been simplified and will be published on the external web site in the next few weeks	
R 15. Simplify and standardise procurement processes further to remove or minimise procurement process barriers by: - introducing reduced and less onerous requirements for low value contracts (e.g. financial evidence – self certification/documentation for low risk/low value followed by a more detailed analysis if proceed to award stage, proportionate pre papers or discontinuing PQQ where appropriate) - simplifying and standardising the core and online PQQ, retaining the flexibility to add additional questions for more	We agree with the principles set out and will look at how these issues will be addressed and the viability within the Phase 2 review of procurement.	Ongoing from summer 14	Procurement     Transformation     Team	Under the new EU regulations the PQQ stage has been abolished for all contracts below the EU threshold. However KCC have already adopted a risk based approach to procurement, only requiring appropriate financial evidence if at all subject to risk. We have been leaders in local government in using the Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) and the new  The new EU regulations allow for a much more light touch regime for previously Part B services with a higher	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
complex service areas - better co-ordination of Commissioning and co- ordinating the diary of tenders across KCC where possible and introducing a plan of tenders - giving earlier notice of intention to put contract out to tender and more time for the completion and submission of tenders.				threshold of EUR 750,000. Whilst the details of the UK's light touch regime is not yet fully known this does provide an opportunity to carry out a far less onerous process for those services which come under the banner of 'social services'. Furthermore there is also scope to reserve contracts for services under the light touch regime (social services) to mutual and social enterprises including some VCS organisations and carrying out a light touch regime in this this respect. It is therefore recommended that we review progress once the new regulations are fully embedded.	
R 16. Promote	Whilst we understand the	On going	<ul> <li>Procurement</li> </ul>	As stated officers already	Delivered
opportunities to VCSE and	principle and reason for			have the ability to	
SMEs through publication	lower value contracts,			purchase or contract	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
of lower value contracts (i.e. £5K) and greater transparency regarding low value contracts that are available.	Spending the Councils Money already allows officers to purchase or contract services under £8k without 3 quotes and without the need for a disproportionately resource intensive process. However we agree that this should be done in a transparent manner; procurement should ensure that they hold the intelligence on a range of VCSE and SME provider and can offer advice on who can provide these lower value services. They should also ensure that lower value contracts over £5k are reported.			services under £8K without 3 quotes and procurement have provided updated guidance since the introduction of the new public contracts regulations. Contracts are recorded on the Kent Business portal.	
R 17. Reflect Social Value sufficiently in our procurement decisions – need to actively consider how much of each procurement decision should be assigned to Social Value, and not only	KCC is committed to considering social value within our commissioning however there are limitations to the Social Value Act which must be acknowledged. The Act only applies to public	Ongoing	<ul><li>Commissioning</li><li>Procurement</li></ul>	Whilst we have made much progress on social value embedding it within our commissioning framework and consistently across our strategies and policies such as our Strategic	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
between price and quality.	services above the			Statement and VCS	
	relevant monetary			policy, we recognise that	
	thresholds in the Public			this is an area which will	
	Contracts Regulations			continue to evolve. The	
	(2006) whether they fall			measurement of social	
	under Part A or B of those			value and return of	
	regulations, this is			investment is being	
	£173,934. However we			developed nationally and	
	will ensure that social			we will continue to	
	value is considered in all			develop our approach	
	commissioning exercises			locally, although this	
	where it is relevant to the			must be done through	
	service being			our commissioners on a	
	commissioned, irrelevant			case by case basis and	
	of contract value, to			alongside the VCS in	
	ensure that community			particular. Furthermore	
	benefits are maximised.			through robust pre	
	It is therefore for			market engagement we	
	operational			can define social value	
	commissioners to			relevant to the service in	
	determine how they will			question.	
	recognise social value			An operational	
	where appropriate and			commissioner's toolkit	
	evidence it on a case by			has not been developed	
	case basis during the pre-			however KCC's	
	procurement process. We			commissioning toolkit	
	will expect all			gives guidance on how	
	commissioning			social value can be	
	specifications, where			factored into	
	appropriate to evidence			procurement processes.	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
Recommendation	how social value has been considered and what is being recommended in the specification with regards to social value. This must be relevant to what is proposed to be procured.  A social value toolkit is being developed by operational commissioners which will offer guidance to commissioners about how social value can be considered and evidenced within the procurement process. This will need to be clear and transparent so that all potential providers, regardless of the sector can demonstrate their added		owner	We will continue to review if anything else is required over and above this.	
Support to develop the ma	value.				
R 18. Actively consider how best to support the development of the market and build capacity,	KCC is committed to supporting the growth of SME's and the VCS and values the vital role they	Winter 2014	<ul><li>Policy</li><li>Commissioning</li></ul>	KCC is currently consulting the VCS on its Voluntary and Community Sector	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
particularly how best to provide support to VCSE and to SMEs.	play in Kent. However we also recognise that the local authority must act within procurement law. Adult social care's recent purchase of a short term (18 month) market development service to support the VCS is welcomed. However it is important that we consider the support needs right across the VCS, therefore we will be reviewing our support to the sector as part of the development of our VCS Policy. This will require us to review the existing infrastructure support which is funded through KCC and how this can best meet the future needs of the VCS sector.			Policy, which includes setting out our future relationship and engagement with the sector and a review of support provided to the sector. This is defined both in terms of support to the wider VCS and those parts of the sector that deliver services on behalf of KCC and in this sense KCC's role in developing the market. Adult social care and public health have funded for the past 18 months a time limited programme of market development support to the VCS sector which is intended to support the sector within a commissioning authority model and to effectively engage in procurement. The evaluation of this programme will be used to inform future market development support	

Recommendation	•	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				and the VCS policy will define the principles which will underpin our future offer of support.	
Contracts and grants					
R 19. Break down larger contracts into smaller lots, wherever practical.	Whilst it is right that commissioners consider the most appropriate process for securing the best outcomes and best value for residents it will not always be appropriate or cost effective to break contracts down into smaller lots. In some cases a grant arrangement may be more appropriate for small scale niche services and the development of our VCS Policy will help to set standards around the use of grants and contracts with the VCS.	Autumn 2014	<ul> <li>Policy</li> <li>Procurement</li> </ul>	The new Public Contracts Regulations do encourage contracting authorities to break contracts into lots to facilitate SME participation. However, whilst the flexibility of breaking contracts down into lots is welcomed and KCC has been actively doing this where appropriate, our approach to lots needs to be without bias and carefully considered on a case by case basis so as to avoid perverse consequences for example destabilising geographical coverage of services.  In recognition that in	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				some cases a grant arrangement may be more suitable, the VCS policy is currently out for consultation and sets out a grant framework which will underpin all our future grant funding. This will provide consistency in our approach and ensure out grant funding is transparent.  The Policy will be agreed by early Autumn 15.	
R 20. Requirement for prompt payment terms all the way down our procurement supply chain continues to be built into contracts; and improve monitoring of this requirement to ensure compliance.	KCC now has a target for paying contractors in 14 days which has been delivered within 90% of contracts. We recognise that there is always room for improvement and the importance of prompt payment in particular for SME's and VCS organisations who have limited access to credit. We will therefore, through our procurement department put in place	On going	Procurement	Prompt payment of sub- contractors is being incorporated into all of our new contracts and is a requirement of The Public Contracts Regulations 2015. Good contract management is required to ensure that the agreement is complied with.	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
R 21. Recognise there is a clear role for 'smart' grants	plans to ensure that delivery upon this target continues to improve.  KCC recognises the value of grant funding in	Autumn 14	Policy     Commissioning	As set out under R19 KCC's VCS policy sets	Ongoing
clear role for 'smart' grants that are innovative, and outcome based. Need to ensure that their use is transparent and are time and task specific, and monitored /evaluated for success.	of grant funding in supporting the vital role of the VCS in Kent. We are developing a VCS policy which will set out principles and standards around our engagement with the sector, including setting out standards around the appropriate use of grants and contracts. By having a standardised approach to grants and a transparent process in place we will be able to monitor the impact of our funding and provide clarity to the sector about the use of grants. It will be for commissioners to ensure		• Commissioning	KCC's VCS policy sets out our commitment to grants and establishes a grant funding framework and criteria for all future grants. This will enable a consistent approach to grants and ensure that all grant funding is transparent. This is currently out for consultation with the sector.  An annual assurance report will be taken by Corporate Policy to Cabinet to ensure that all our grant funding awarded by Commissioners, is linked	
	that they are operating in accordance to these principles and that we are using the most effective and appropriate funding			to our strategic outcomes and upholds the principles within the policy.	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
	mechanism for each of our services.				
R 22. Improve the capabilities to performance manage contracts; and ensure the capacity to monitor and evaluate performance and support improvement when appropriate.	The management of contracts is integral to the success of a commissioning authority and we already have examples of good practice within the local authority, for example Highways. However we recognise that this is an area where we need to strengthen our skill se. It is essential that the contracts put in place are of a high quality and enable the authority to act when standards are not being met or to improve performance when needed through the close monitoring of contract delivery.	On going	<ul> <li>Commissioning</li> <li>Procurement</li> </ul>	An e-learning module on commissioning and contract management has been developed and is now available for all staff, which highlights the importance of effective relationships between KCC and its providers. In addition an in-depth contract management training programme has been developed for all contract managers, to develop skills in commercial acumen, developing effective relationships, and managing contracts effectively.  Furthermore the Business plans for 15/16 provide information on whether services are provided in-house or contracted out. This information has enabled	Delivered

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				Members to call in services to Committee and look at the contractual arrangements and management.	
R 23. Stipulate that all contracts have clearly scheduled performance reviews and evaluate outcomes/outcome evaluations – for instance ensure contracts have schedule of reviews.	KCC agrees that the review function is vital; effective commissioning authorities use their data analysis information and expertise to test and question the effectiveness of services at regular intervals. This can lead to 'fine tuning' or even major changes to specifications before re-commissioning, to learn from what has worked and not worked. It is also recognised that we need to focus our contract management and evaluation on outcomes rather than outputs and this is something we will take forward.	On going	• Commissioning	Procurement have developed a Contract Management Guide and an Operations Manual Template which should be jointly completed by the Procurement Lead and the Contract Manager with the details of review meetings and methodology for ensuring compliance to contracts.	
R 24. Complete the Contracts register to	We agree with this recommendation and will	Early 2015	Procurement	KCC intend to maintain a list of all contracts over	Ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
include all contracts over 50k – and include details of the named contract manager, and Lead Director	take this forward as a matter of urgency. The Local Authorities (Data Transparency code) will become mandated when regulations under section 3 of the Local Government, Planning and Land Act1980 come into force. This will require the local authority to publish details of any contract, commissioned activity, purchase order, framework agreement and any other legally enforceable agreement with a value that exceeds £5,000. This will need to include a range of information including details of the goods or services being provided and the department responsible and whether or not the supplier is a small or medium sized enterprise and/or a voluntary or community sector organisation.			£50k on the Contract Register, moving forward suppliers when registering on the portal will be able to confirm whether they are an SME or a VCS organisation, currently we report SME and VCS spend by analysing our spend data on an annual basis. Given the size and scale of KCC business, assessment of the cost- benefit of compliance under the Transparency code in relation to contracts (spending) over £5K is underway. This will be considered by Corporate Directors in due course.	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales		Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
R 25. Manage internally provided Services with as much rigour for outcomes, and performance management as other providers.	Procurement will be putting in place plans to ensure that this information is collected and made available.  As set out in our Whole Council Transformation paper in 2013, KCC as a commissioning authority must have a strong understanding of the outcomes it wants to achieve and the capability of providers including inhouse to deliver these. Inhouse providers will therefore have to compete to deliver contract specifications with external suppliers, with no differentiation in the way our contracts are managed between internal and external providers.	On going	•	Commissioning Performance and Risk	The County Council approved Commissioning Framework makes clear that we expect internal services to be managed with as much rigour as external services. Different parts of KCC currently have different ways of doing this and as such a review is currently underway to establish how we can ensure more robust mechanisms for testing the value for money of our internal services.	Ongoing
R 26. Further work is undertaken to the member role and what mechanism would best strengthen	The May 2014 County Council paper accepted that further work on the role of the Member in a	December 2014	•	Policy	Following the Select Committee a cross party working group was established and	Aspects delivered but ongoing

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
member oversight of commissioning, procurement and contract management; and member involvement earlier in the process and pre market engagement; and members are supported through training.	commissioning authority was urgently needed, and to that end the Leader has established a cross party Member Working Group on Commissioning, chaired by Eric Hotson, which will examine the key issues raised in this recommendation, and which will report back through Selection and Member Services Committee to County Council. The Group will also consider the appropriate training required for Members in a commissioning authority. It is expected to report its final recommendations before the end of the year.			considered the role of Members in a strategic commissioning authority. This met four times through July to October 2014. This group reported to County Council in October and concluded that Cabinet Committees were not sufficiently developed to be able to undertake an extensive role in commissioning, and therefore recommended that a Commissioning Advisory Board (CAB) be established to undertake this role. Its focus is on allowing non-executive members the opportunity to scrutinise commissioning decisions in depth as early as possible in the commissioning cycle, with Cabinet Committees focusing on examining contract and performance of	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				contracts. County Council agreed to review	
				CAB at the end of 12	
				months, in autumn 2015	
				to determine whether	
				CAB should continue in	
				its current or a revised	
				form or whether	
				alternative arrangements	
				might be more	
				appropriate. This is still the intention.	
				The Directorate Business	
				plans now set out for the	
				first time, which services	
				are delivered in-house,	
				which services are	
				delivered by external	
				providers and when the	
				contracts end. It is hoped	
				that this transparency will	
				provide members with	
				the information they	
				need to request reports	
				through relevant Cabinet Committees on the	
				performance and	
				evaluation of contracts	
				and scrutinising both	
				commissioners and	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				providers for contract	
				delivery.	
				In a relatively short life	
				span CAB has	
				considered a number of	
				transformation and	
				commissioning issues	
				including Property	
				LATCO	
				proposal/business case,	
				proposal for a Library	
				Trust and the business	
				case for the back office	
				procurement exercise, to name but a few.	
				However one of the main	
				aims of the group has	
				been to build a stronger	
				and direct working	
				relationship with	
				commissioning officers.	
				Commissioning officers.	
				A number of bespoke	
				training events and	
				briefings on aspects of	
				the commissioning	
				process have been	
				arranged and will	
				continue to be organised	
				and repeated to support	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
				elected Members. Last	
				Autumn over 60	
				members attended a	
				sessions delivered by INLOGOV on what it	
				could mean for an	
				elected member as the	
				Council moved towards a	
				Commissioning	
				Authority. Other sessions	
				have also been held on	
				procurement, the various	
				models of service	
				delivery and shortly	
				some sessions on	
				performance	
				management of	
				contracts will be	
				provided. The cross	
				party Member	
				Development Steering	
				Group has recently	
				started a dialogue with	
				officers in Organisation	
				and Development and Democratic Services to	
				determine those skills or	
				aspects of member	
				development an elected	
				Member will need for	

Select Committee Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Timescales	Responsible owner	Progress to date	Status
Social Value				discharging this role. One of the modules of the Workforce Development Plan for developing a commission ready workforce is a workshop for all Members and Corporate Directors to enable a joint understanding of our ambition to become a strategic commissioning authority.	
R 27. To maximise and give greater recognition to Social Value, incorporate consideration of social value questions in tender evaluation criteria and procurement decisions where possible, and develop a Social Value Charter.	Refer to action under recommendation 17.	Autumn 2014	Commissioning	See update to R17	

This page is intentionally left blank